IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

COI	NCORDIA UNIVERSITY TEXAS,	§	
	Plaintiff(s),	\$ \$ \$	
v.		\$ 1: 24-CV-00176RP	
	JTHERAN CHURCH - MISSOURI SYNOD	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
	Defendant(s).	§ §	
	AGREED SCHE	EDULING ORDER	
	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedu	are 16, the following Agreed Scheduling Order is	
issued	l by the Court:		
1.	A report on alternative dispute resolution in compliance with Local Rule CV-88 shall be filed		
	on or before August 26, 2024		
2.	The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing		
	parties on or before April 1, 2025	, and each opposing party shall respond,	
	in writing, on or before May 1, 2025	. All offers of settlement are to be	
	private, not filed. The parties are ordered	to retain the written offers of settlement and	
	responses so the Court may use them in a	assessing attorney's fees and costs at the conclusion	
	of the trial.		
3.	Each party shall complete and file the att	ached "Notice Concerning Reference to United	
	States Magistrate Judge" on or before	August 26, 2024 .	
4.	The parties shall file all motions to amend	d or supplement pleadings or to join additional	
	parties on or before September 27, 20)24	

- 5. All parties asserting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and serve on all parties, but not file, the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) on or before November 4, 2024. Parties resisting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and serve on all parties, but not file, the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) on or before December 4, 2024. All parties shall file all designations of rebuttal experts and serve on all parties the material required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) for such rebuttal experts, to the extent not already served, 15 days from the receipt of the report of the opposing expert.
- 6. An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of
 Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and
 identifying the objectionable testimony, within 11 days from the receipt of the written report
 of the expert's proposed testimony, or within 11 days from the completion of the expert's
 deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.
- 7. The parties shall complete all discovery on or before April 1, 2025
- 8. All dispositive motions shall be filed on or before May 1, 2025 and shall be limited to 20 pages. Responses shall be filed and served on all other parties not later than 14 days after the service of the motion and shall be limited to 20 pages. Any replies shall be filed and served on all other parties not later than 7 days after the service of the response and shall be limited to 10 pages, but the Court need not wait for the reply before ruling on the motion.
- 9. The Court will set this case for final pretrial conference at a later time. The final pretrial conference shall be attended by at least one of the attorneys who will conduct the trial for

each of the parties and by any unrepresented parties. The parties should consult Local Rule CV-16(e) regarding matters to be filed in advance of the final pretrial conference. The parties shall not complete the following paragraph. It will be completed by the Court at the initial pretrial conference to be scheduled by the Court.

The parties may modify the deadlines in this Order by agreement, with the exception of the dispositive motions deadline and the trial date. Those dates are firm. The Court may impose sanctions under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(f) if the parties do not make timely submissions under this Order. For cases brought pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), the parties may instead follow the standard disclosure process and will have an initial pretrial conference only by request.

ROBERT PITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

	Plaintiff(s),	\$ \$ \$ \$	
v.		S S	1:CVRP
	,	\$ \$	
	Defendant(s).	\$	

NOTICE CONCERNING REFERENCE TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73
and the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, the
ollowing party
hrough counsel
consents to having a United States Magistrate Judge preside over the trial in this case.
declines to consent to trial before a United States Magistrate Judge.
Respectfully submitted,
Attorney for: